122

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

W.P. No. 123/2004

PETITIONER

1. Shriniwas Patel,
S/o Brijwasi Prasad Patel,
Aged about 29 years,
Ex-ITI Trade Apprentice Electrician, Bhatgaon Colliery, South Eastern Coalfields Limited, District Surguja (C.G.)
2. Dinesh Kumar Patel,
S/o Shyamlal Patel,
Aged about 31 y ears,
Ex-ITI Trade Apprentice Electrician, Bhatgaon Colliery, South Eastern Coalfields Limited, District Surguja (C.G.)
3. Budhsen Prasad Patel,
S/o Kalu Prasad Patel,
Aged about 31 years,
Ex-ITI Trade Apprentice Electrician, Bhatgaon Colliery, South Eastern Coalfields Limited, District Surguja (C.G.)
4. Budhsen Patel,
S/o Ramkhilawan Patel,
Aged about 27 years,
Ex-ITI Trade Apprentice Welder, Bhatgaon Colliery, South Eastern Coalfields Limited, District Surguja (C.G.)

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1 Chairman,
Coal India Limited,
10, Netaji Subhash Road,
Kolkata (W.B.)

2 Director (P & IR)
Coal India Limited,
10, Netaji Subhash Road,
Kolkata (W.B.)

3. Member Secretary,
JBCC-VI (Joint Bipartite Committee for the Coal Industry),
Coal India Limited,
10, Netaji Subhash Road,
Kolkata (W.B.)

4. Chairman-cum-Managing Director,
South Eastern Coalfields Ltd.,
Seepat Road, Bilaspur (C.G.)

(123)

5. Director (Personnel),
South Eastern Coalfields Ltd.,
Seepat Road, Bilaspur (C.G.)
6. Chief General Manager,
South Eastern Coalfields Ltd.,
Bhatgaon Colliery, District Surguja
(C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

Appearance:

Shri Devendra Patel, Counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Praveen Das, Counsel for the respondents on advance copy.

ORAL ORDER
(19.09.2007)

SUNIL KUMAR SINHA, J

Heard.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the case of the petitioners is similarly situated to that of the respondents in the matter of *Chairman, Central Coalfields Ltd., & Others – vs. – Jivendra Prasad Tiwari & others (Civil Appeal No.1714/2007)*. Learned counsel further submits that the said Civil Appeal has been disposed of vide order dated 29th March 2007, passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. He further submits that this case may also be disposed of in the same terms, which the learned counsel appearing for the respondents/SECL does not controvert.

In view of the above, this petition stands disposed of in the same terms. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge

shyna